## Rational design of cationic cyclooligosaccharides as efficient gene delivery systems<sup>†</sup>

Alejandro Díaz-Moscoso,<sup>a</sup> Patricia Balbuena,<sup>b</sup> Marta Gómez-García,<sup>b</sup> Carmen Ortiz Mellet,<sup>\*b</sup> Juan M. Benito,<sup>a</sup> Loïc Le Gourriérec,<sup>c</sup> Christophe Di Giorgio,<sup>c</sup> Pierre Vierling,<sup>\*c</sup> Antonino Mazzaglia,<sup>d</sup> Norberto Micali,<sup>e</sup> Jacques Defaye<sup>\*f</sup> and José M. García Fernández<sup>\*a</sup>

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 3rd December 2007, Accepted 29th January 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 25th January 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b718672j

Self-assembled cyclodextrin (CD)–DNA nanoparticles (*CDplexes*) exhibiting transfection efficiencies significantly higher than PEI-based polyplexes have been prepared from homogeneous seven-fold symmetric polyaminothiourea amphiphiles constructed on a  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin scaffold.

The delivery of genetic materials into a target cell is a major challenge for gene therapy.<sup>1</sup> Viral vectors are far more effective when compared to non viral delivery systems, but there are safety concerns.<sup>2</sup> Synthetic vectors, which include polymers, lipids, nanomaterials and combinations thereof,<sup>3</sup> although highly effective in vitro have a low efficiency in vivo, exhibit cell toxicity and are still immunogenic.<sup>4</sup> Biocompatibility can be significantly enhanced by the incorporation of polycationic polysaccharides or conjugation with carbohydrate moieties,<sup>5</sup> and interestingly the corresponding DNA complexes-glycoplexes-often exhibit higher transfection efficiencies. Reproducibility is, however, problematic because of the high polydispersity of such systems. The design of artificial carriers that could prove as efficient as their viral counterparts but are safer to use, homogeneous, non-immunogenic and more readily adapted to tailor-made elaboration represents the ultimate challenge for the future development of gene therapy.

Cyclodextrins (cyclomaltooligosaccharides, CDs)<sup>6</sup> are a class of cyclic  $\alpha$ -(1–4)-linked glucooligosaccharides that present spe-

<sup>a</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas, CSIC, Américo Vespucio 49, Isla de la Cartuja, E-41092 Sevilla, Spain. E-mail:

jogarcia@iiq.csic.es; Fax: +34-954460565; Tel: +34-954489559 <sup>b</sup> Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Sevilla, Apartado 553, E-41071 Sevilla, Spain.

E-mail: mellet@us.es; Fax: +34 954624960; Tel: +34 954551519 <sup>c</sup> LCMBA UMR 6001CNRS – Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, 28, Avenue de Valrose, F-06100 Nice, France. E-mail: Pierre.Vierling@unice.fr; Fax: +33-476041013;

- <sup>d</sup> CNR-Istituto per lo Studio dei Materiali Nanostrutturati, c/o Dipartimento di Chimica Inorganica, Chimica Analytica e Chimica Fisica, Università di Messina, Salita Sperone 31, 98166 Messina, Italy
- <sup>e</sup> CNR-Istituto per i Processi Chimico Fisici, Salita Sperone, Contrada Papardo, Faro Superiore, 98158 Messina, Italy
- <sup>f</sup> Dépt. de Pharmacochimie Moleculaire, Institut de Chimie Moléculaire de Grenoble, (CNRS – Univ. de Grenoble, UMR 5063, FR 2607), Bât. E André Rassat, BP 53, F-38041 Grenoble, France. E-mail: Jacques.Defaye@ujf-grenoble.fr; Fax: +33-476635313; Tel: +33-476635323

cific features of interest as core molecules for the development of new gene vectors. They are non-immunogenic and biodegradable biomaterials and their inclusion capabilities have been widely exploited in therapeutics to protect drugs from physical, chemical and enzymatic degradation, to improve their bioavailability and also to enhance cell membrane permeability.7 Notably, CDs have been incorporated into polycationic polymers, dendrimers and self-assembled systems both through covalent linkages<sup>8</sup> and non-covalent interactions,<sup>9,10</sup> playing the role of transfection enhancers. Herein we present an efficient and highly flexible synthesis of polycationic amphiphilic cyclomaltoheptaose (β-cyclodextrin, βCD) derivatives adapted to the complexation and delivery of DNA suitable for library generation. High transfection efficiencies with low toxicity profiles have been achieved by the incorporation of: (i) thiourea segments as hydrogen bond anchors; (ii) a dendritic display of amino groups and; (iii) a cluster of lipophilic chains in a defined spatial orientation with a seven-fold symmetry (Fig. 1).

Former results pointed at the superior DNA complexing and delivery capabilities of cationic lipids incorporating multiple positive charges in a compact arrangement as compared with spare dispositions.<sup>11</sup> Actually, compound **2**,<sup>12</sup> obtained in only two steps *via* the corresponding per-(C-6)-bromo derivative **1**,<sup>13</sup> was already able to tightly bind and condense DNA, although no transfection activity of the resulting complexes was observed. To endow the system with cell membrane fusogenic capabilities, the installation of fatty acyl chains at the fourteen secondary hydroxyl positions of the CD was undertaken. Clean and very fast conversion of **1** into the



Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the CD-based gene vectors prepared in this work.

*Tel:* +33-492076151

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and copies of the NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/b718672j

seven-fold symmetric tetradecahexanoate 3 was achieved by reaction with hexanoic anhydride in DMF. Further displacement of the bromo groups with Boc-protected cysteamine  $(\rightarrow 4)$  and final hydrolysis of the seven carbamate groups afforded the polycationic amphiphilic derivative 5 (Scheme 1).

We next considered the incorporation of thiourea segments as hydrogen bond anchoring points for biomimetic DNA complexation.<sup>14</sup> It was recently shown that carbohydrate derived thioureas can associate to phosphate even in water through cooperative hydrogen bonding.<sup>15</sup> As a matter of fact, DNA complexes made from neutral lipopolythioureas are able



to transfect cells, though with moderate efficiency.<sup>16</sup> Cationic DNA complexes formulated from amphiphilic polyaminothioureas should interact more favorably with the negatively charged proteoglycans at the cell surface, facilitating internalization. Subsequent endosome escape is pH-controlled; densely packed polyamine clusters might act as proton sponges and promote this process.<sup>17</sup>

To check the above working hypotheses, the preparation of the polyaminothiourea- $\beta$ CDs 7 and 8, bearing a cluster of seven and fourteen amino groups, respectively, *via* the corresponding hepta-isothiocyanate 6, was accomplished (Scheme 1). Molecular integrity and homogeneity was checked for every intermediate, demonstrating the suitability of this convergent approach to generate molecular diversity in a sophisticated structural design with total control and through a limited number of synthetic steps.

Compounds **5**, **7** and **8** formed positively charged aggregates both in saline 1 mM solution (hydrodynamic radii 80–140 nm;  $\xi$ potential of +42 to +63 mV) and in phosphate buffer (pH 7; 85–140 nm;  $\xi$ -potential of +19 to +29 mV), with critical aggregation concentrations <0.1  $\mu$ M in all cases. Encapsulation experiments using copper(II) tetrasulfonated phthalocyanine as a chromophore (see ESI†) supported the reversible character of the self-association process, with relatively fast exchange rates.

The ability of the polycationic  $\beta$ CDs **5**, **7** and **8** to condense plasmid DNA (pDNA) into particulate structures (*CDplexes*) was next confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis, particle size analysis and  $\xi$ -potential measurements. Polyethyleneimine (branched PEI, 25 kDa), one of the most efficient cationic polymer vectors,<sup>17</sup> was used for comparative purposes. All three derivatives could efficiently compact pDNA into stable nanoparticles of remarkably small dimensions and low polydispersity (50–75 nm) even at a N/P<sup>18</sup> ratio of 5 (to be compared with 100–150 nm in the case of PEI), making these vectors very promising for *in vivo* gene delivery. At N/P 5 and 10, all the complexes exhibited a net positive surface charge, indicating that they consist of cationic nanoparticles ( $\xi$ -potential of +18 to +46 mV). Moreover, pDNA in these nanoparticles was not accessible to ethidium bromide, confirming complete protection.

Direct interaction of the CD-aggregates, rather than the individual molecules, with pDNA cannot be discarded under the experimental conditions used in our study. In fact, the dynamic behaviour of the association events makes both phenomena indistinguishable. In the presence of pDNA, fast equilibration between CD aggregates and CD-DNA complexes probably occurs, to give the corresponding CDplexes. The fact that the CDplexes are smaller than the CD aggregates strongly support this mechanism, discarding an irreversible two-step aggregation process.<sup>5e</sup>

The transfection efficiency of the self-assembled polycationic CD–DNA complexes was assessed using the luciferaseencoding reporter gene as a marker in BNL-CL2 murine embryonic cells. Fig. 2a shows the luciferase expression mediated by **5**, **7** and **8** at N/P ratios 5 and 10 in comparison with data for branched PEI (25 kDa) and naked pDNA. Structure-activity relationship (SAR) analysis clearly evidences that inserting the thiourea segments in the cluster architecture results in a dramatic improvement in transfection efficiency. Thus, at N/P **5**, the CDplexes made from



Fig. 2 In vitro gene transfection efficiency (a) and cell viability (b) of the CD plexes in BNL-CL2 cells in comparison with PEI (25 kDa)based polyplexes and naked DNA (ND). Data represent mean standard deviation (n = 3).

aminothiourea 7 were over 100-fold more efficient than those obtained from heptamine 5. Increasing the number of protonable amine groups in a dendritic arrangement represented a further significant improvement in vector design. Thus, the transfection efficiency for the tetradecaaminothiourea 8 CDplexes was up to 10-fold higher as compared with that for CDplexes from 7. Noticeably, our studies showed further that the CDplexes were much less toxic than the PEI-based polyplexes in cell cultures (Fig. 2b).<sup>19</sup>

In conclusion, we have implemented a straightforward cvclodextrin-based design of homogeneous molecular systems for efficient gene delivery. A economic, diversity-oriented strategy to access face-differentiated polycationic amphiphilic CDs, suitable for SAR studies, has been developed. The overall architecture of the molecules can be controlled in terms of density of amino groups, flexibility and presence of additional hydrogen-bonding functionalities. High transfection efficiencies for homogeneous systems, that overpass that of the cationic polymer PEI (25 kDa), with very low toxicity profiles, have been achieved by combining amine and thiourea groups in a  $C_7$ -symmetric cluster arrangement. The presence of the CD cavity offers opportunities for the construction of "artificial viruses" by incorporation of further functional elements (e.g. for cell targeting, nuclear localization, visualization) to the DNA complexes as well as for the co-delivery of drugs and DNA by forming ternary complexes. Research in that direction is currently in progress in our laboratories.<sup>20</sup>

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (contract numbers CTQ2006-15515-C02-01/BQU and CTQ2007-61180/PPQ), the Junta de Andalucía (P06-FQM-01601), the CSIC, the CNRS and FUSINT (CNR project).

## Notes and references

- (a) X. Gao, K.-S. Kim and D. Liu, AAPS J., 2007, 9, E92; (b) E. Mastrobattista, M. A. M. van der Aa, W. E. Hennink and D. J. A. Crommelin, Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov., 2006, 5, 115; (c) G. A. Pietersz, C.-K. Tang and V. Apostolopoulos, Mini-Rev. Med. Chem., 2006, 6, 1285.
- 2 (a) C. E. Thomas, A. E. Ekhardt and M. A. Kay, *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2003, **4**, 346; (b) M. Fischlechner and E. Donath, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3184.
- 3 (a) D. Putnam, Nat. Mater., 2006, 5, 439; (b) T. G. Park, J. H. Jeong and S. W. Kim, Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev., 2006, 58, 467; (c) I. S. Zuhorn, J. B. F. N. Engberts and D. Hoekstra, Eur. Biophys. J. Biophys. Lett., 2007, 36, 349; (d) S. Jin and K. Ye, Biotechnol. Prog., 2007, 23, 32; (e) Z. Liu, M. Winters, M. Holodniy and H. Dai, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 2023; (f) G. Han, C.-C. You, B.-J.

Kim, R. S. Turingan, N. S. Forbes, C. T. Martin and V. M. Rotello, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3165, and references therein.

- 4 H. Lv, S. Zhang, B. Wang, S. Cui and J. Yan, *J. Control. Release*, 2006, **114**, 100.
- 5 (a) T. H. Kim, H.-L. Jiang, D. Jere, I.-K. Park, M.-H. Cho, J.-W. Nah, Y.-J. Choi, T. Akaike and C.-S. Cho, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2007, **32**, 726; (b) K. C. Wood, S. R. Little, R. Langer and P. T. Hammond, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 6704; (c) M. Metzke, N. O'Connor, S. Maiti, E. Nelson and Z. Guan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 6529; (d) M. Monsigny, C. Rondanino, E. Duverger, I. Fajac and A.-C. Roche, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2004, **1673**, 94; (e) Y. Aoyama, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 588–593.
- 6 (a) R. Villalonga, R. Cao and A. Fragoso, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, 107, 3088; (b) J. M. García Fernández, C. Ortiz Mellet and J. Defaye, *J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem.*, 2006, 56, 149; (c) M. E. Davis and M. E. Brewster, *Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov.*, 2004, 3, 1023.
- 7 (a) C. Udata, J. Patel, D. Pal, E. Ejchman, M. Cushman and A. K. Mitra, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2006, **250**, 157; (b) M. Bost, V. Laine, F. Pilard, A. Gadelle, J. Defaye and B. Perly, *J. Incl. Phenom. Mol. Recogn. Chem.*, 1997, **29**, 57.
- 8 (a) J. D. Heidel, Z. Yu, J. Y.-C. Liu, S. M. Rele, Y. Liang, R. K. Zeidan, D. J. Kornbrust and M. E. Davis, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, 104, 5715; (b) D. W. Barlett and M. E. Davis, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2007, 18, 456; (c) T. Tsutsumi, Hirayama, K. Uekama and H. Arima, *J. Control. Release*, 2007, 119, 349; (d) G. P. Tang, H. Y. Guo, F. Alexis, X. Wang, S. Zeng, T. M. Lim, J. Ding, Y. Y. Yang and S. Wang, *J. Gene Med.*, 2006, 8, 736; (e) H. Arima, Y. Chihara, M. Arizono, S. Yamashita, K. Wada, F. Hirayama and K. Uekama, *J. Control. Release*, 2006, 116, 64; (f) H. Huang, G. Tang, Q. Wang, D. Li, F. Shen, J. Zhou and H. Yu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 2382.
- (a) J. Li, C. Yang, H. Li, X. Wang, S. H. Goh, J. L. Ding, D. Y. Wang and K. W. Leong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 2969; (b) T. Ooya, H. S. Choi, A. Yamashita, N. Yui, Y. Sugaya, A. Kano, A. Muruyama, H. Akita, R. Ito, K. Kogure and H. Harashima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 3852; (c) A. Yamashita, H. S. Choi, Ooya, N. Yui, H. Akita, K. Kogure and H. Harashima, *ChemBioChem*, 2006, **7**, 297.
- (a) R. Donohue, A. Mazzaglia, B. J. Ravoo and R. Darcy, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2864; (b) S. A. Cryan, R. Donohue, B. J. Ravoo, R. Darcy and C. M. O'Driscoll, *J. Drug. Del. Sci. Technol.*, 2004, 14, 57; (c) S. A. Cryan, A. Holohan, R. Donohue, R. Darcy and C. M. O'Driscoll, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2004, 21, 625.
- 11 (a) K. Fabio, C. Di Giorgio and P. Vierling, *Biochim. Biophys.* Acta, 2005, **1724**, 203; (b) K. K. Ewert, H. M. Evans, N. F. Bouxsein and C. R. Safinya, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2006, **17**, 877.
- 12 M. Gómez-García, J. M. Benito, J.-X. Yu, K. Chmurski, C. Ortiz Mellet, R. Gutiérrez Gallego, A. Maestre, J. Defaye and J. M. García Fernández, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 7970.
- (a) A. Gadelle and J. Defaye, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 78; (b) K. Chmurski and J. Defaye, Polish J. Chem., 1999, 73, 967; (c) K. Chmurski and J. Defaye, Supramol. Chem., 2000, 12, 221.
- 14 (a) A. K. H. Hirsh, F. R. Fischer and F. Diederich, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 338; (b) E. A. Katayev, Y. A. Ustynyuk and J. L. Sessler, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, 3004.
- 15 (a) J. L. Jiménez Blanco, P. Bootello, R. Gutiérrez Gallego, C. Ortiz Mellet and J. M. García Fernández, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 92; (b) J. L. Jiménez Blanco, P. Bootello, J. M. Benito, C. Ortiz Mellet and J. M. GarcíaFernández, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 5136.
- 16 (a) J. Leblond, N. Mignet, L. Leseurre, C. Largeu, M. Bessodes, D. Scherman and J. Herscovici, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2006, **17**, 1200; (b) J. Leblond, N. Mignet, C. Largeu, M.-V. Spanedda, J. Segin, D. Scherman and J. Herscovici, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2007, **18**, 484.
- 17 S. Nimesh, A. Goyal, V. Pawar, S. Jayaraman, P. Kumar, R. Chandra, Y. Singh and K. C. Gupta, J. Control. Release, 2006, 110, 457.
- 18 N and P represent the number of amine and phosphate equivalents of the polycationic cyclodextrins and pDNA, respectively.
- 19 Similar transfection efficiency and cell viability trends were encountered in KB cells (human nasopharyngeal cancer cell line) for 8 as compared with PEI. See ESI<sup>+</sup>.
- 20 The extremelly low cmc values for **5**, **7** and **8** makes it difficult to assess their inclusion capabilities in pure water. Nevertheless, the formation of inclusion complexes with adamantane derivatives was evidenced in methanol or DMSO-water mixtures by NMR titration experiments, supporting the possibility of using adamantane conjugated functional elements to further elaborate the corresponding CDplexes through supramolecular interactions.